

Math. Res. Lett. 15(2008), no. 6, 1263-1276

AN ADDITIVE THEOREM AND RESTRICTED SUMSETS

ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. Let G be any additive abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup, and let A , B and C be finite subsets of G with cardinality $n > 0$. We show that there is a numbering $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the elements of A , a numbering $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the elements of B and a numbering $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the elements of C , such that all the sums $a_i + b_i + c_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are (pairwise) distinct. Consequently, each subcube of the Latin cube formed by the Cayley addition table of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ contains a Latin transversal. This additive theorem is an essential result which can be further extended via restricted sumsets in a field.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1999 Snevily [Sn] raised the following beautiful conjecture in additive combinatorics which is currently an active area of research.

Snevily's Conjecture. *Let G be an additive abelian group with $|G|$ odd. Let A and B be subsets of G with cardinality $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Then there is a numbering $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the elements of A and a numbering $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the elements of B such that the sums $a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n$ are (pairwise) distinct.*

When $|G|$ is an odd prime, this conjecture was proved by Alon [A2] via the polynomial method rooted in Alon and Tarsi [AT], and developed by Alon, Nathanson and Ruzsa [ANR] (see also [N, pp. 98-107] and [TV, pp. 329-345]) and refined by Alon [A1] in 1999. In 2001 Dasgupta, Károlyi, Serra and Szegedy [DKSS] confirmed Snevily's conjecture for any cyclic group of odd order. In 2003 Sun [Su3] obtained some further extensions of the Dasgupta-Károlyi-Serra-Szegedy result via restricted sums in a field.

In Snevily's conjecture the abelian group is required to have odd order. (An abelian group of even order has an element g of order 2 and hence we don't have the described result for $A = B = \{0, g\}$.) For a general abelian group G with its torsion subgroup $\text{Tor}(G) = \{a \in G : a \text{ has a finite order}\}$ cyclic, if we make no hypothesis on the order of G , what additive properties can we impose on several finite subsets of G with cardinality n ? In this direction we establish the following new theorem of additive nature.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B75; Secondary 05A05, 05B15, 05E99, 11C08, 11P99, 15A15, 20D60, 20K99.

Supported by the National Science Fund (grant 10425103) for Distinguished Young Scholars in China.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be any additive abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup, and let $A_1, \dots, A_m$ be arbitrary subsets of G with cardinality $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where m is odd. Then the elements of A_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) can be listed in a suitable order $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}$, so that all the sums $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are distinct. In other words, for a certain subset A_{m+1} of G with $|A_{m+1}| = n$, there is a matrix $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m+1, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ such that $\{a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}\} = A_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m+1$ and the column sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} a_{ij}$ vanishes for every $j = 1, \dots, n$.*

Remark 1.1. Theorem 1.1 in the case $m = 3$ is essential; the result for $m = 5, 7, \dots$ can be obtained by repeated use of the case $m = 3$.

Example 1.1. In Theorem 1.1 the condition $2 \nmid m$ is indispensable. Let G be an additive cyclic group of even order n . Then G has a unique element g of order 2 and hence $a \neq -a$ for all $a \in G \setminus \{0, g\}$. Thus $\sum_{a \in G} a = 0 + g = g$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ let $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}$ be a list of the n elements of G . If those $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$ are distinct, then

$$\sum_{a \in G} a = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = m \sum_{a \in G} a,$$

hence $(m-1)g = (m-1) \sum_{a \in G} a = 0$ and therefore m is odd.

Example 1.2. The group G in Theorem 1.1 cannot be replaced by an arbitrary abelian group. To illustrate this, we look at the Klein quaternion group

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$$

and its subsets

$$A_1 = \{(0, 0), (0, 1)\}, A_2 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}, A_3 = \dots = A_m = \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\},$$

where $m \geq 3$ is odd. For $i = 1, \dots, m$ let a_i, a'_i be a list of the two elements of A_i , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i + a'_i) = (0, 1) + (1, 0) + (m-2)(1, 1) = (0, 0)$$

and hence $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = -\sum_{i=1}^m a'_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a'_i$.

Recall that a line of an $n \times n$ matrix is a row or column of the matrix. We define a line of an $n \times n \times n$ cube in a similar way. A *Latin cube* over a set S of cardinality n is an $n \times n \times n$ cube whose entries come from the set S and no line of which contains a repeated element. A *transversal* of an $n \times n \times n$ cube is a collection of n cells no two of which lie in the same line. A *Latin transversal* of a cube is a transversal whose cells contain no repeated element.

Corollary 1.1. *Let N be any positive integer. For the $N \times N \times N$ Latin cube over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ formed by the Cayley addition table, each $n \times n \times n$ subcube with $n \leq N$ contains a Latin transversal.*

Proof. Just apply Theorem 1.1 with $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and $m = 3$. $\square$

In 1967 Ryser [R] conjectured that every Latin square of odd order has a Latin transversal. Another conjecture of Brualdi (cf. [D], [DK, p. 103] and [EHNS]) states that every Latin square of order n has a partial Latin transversal of size $n-1$. These and Corollary 1.1 suggest that our following conjecture might be reasonable.

Conjecture 1.1. *Every $n \times n \times n$ Latin cube contains a Latin transversal.*

Note that Conjecture 1.1 does not imply Theorem 1.1 since an $n \times n \times n$ subcube of a Latin cube might have more than n distinct entries.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be any additive abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup, and let $A_1, \dots, A_m$ be subsets of G with cardinality $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where m is even. Suppose that all the elements of A_m have odd order. Then the elements of A_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) can be listed in a suitable order $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}$, so that all the sums $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are distinct.*

Proof. As $m-1$ is odd, by Theorem 1.1 the elements of A_i ($1 \leq i \leq m-1$) can be listed in a suitable order $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}$, such that all the sums $s_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are distinct. Since all the elements of A_m have odd order, by [Su3, Theorem 1.1(ii)] there is a numbering $\{a_{mj}\}_{j=1}^n$ of the elements of A_m such that all the sums $s_j + a_{mj} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are distinct. We are done. $\square$

As an essential result, Theorem 1.1 might have various potential applications in additive number theory and combinatorial designs.

We can extend Theorem 1.1 via restricted sumsets in a field. The additive order of the multiplicative identity of a field F is either infinite or a prime; we call it the *characteristic* of F and denote it by $\text{ch}(F)$. The reader is referred to [DH], [ANR], [Su2], [HS], [LS], [PS1], [Su3], [SY] and [PS2] for various results on restricted sumsets of the type

$$\{a_1 + \dots + a_n : a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n \text{ and } P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0\},$$

where $A_1, \dots, A_n \subseteq F$ and $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

For a finite sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of sets, if $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are distinct, then the sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is called a *system of distinct representatives* (SDR) of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$. This concept plays an important role in combinatorics and a celebrated theorem of Hall tells us when $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ has an SDR (see, e.g., [Su1]). Most results in our paper involve SDRs of several subsets of a field.

Now we state our second theorem which is much more general than Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let h, k, l, m, n be positive integers satisfying*

$$k - 1 \geq m(n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad l - 1 \geq h(n - 1). \quad (1.1)$$

Let F be a field with $\text{ch}(F) > \max\{K, L\}$, where

$$K = (k - 1)n - (m + 1)\binom{n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad L = (l - 1)n - (h + 1)\binom{n}{2}. \quad (1.2)$$

Assume that $c_1, \dots, c_n \in F$ are distinct and $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ are subsets of F with

$$|A_1| = \dots = |A_n| = k \quad \text{and} \quad |B_1| = \dots = |B_n| = l. \quad (1.3)$$

Let $P_1(x), \dots, P_n(x), Q_1(x), \dots, Q_n(x) \in F[x]$ be monic polynomials with $\deg P_i(x) = m$ and $\deg Q_i(x) = h$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, for any $S, T \subseteq F$ with $|S| \leq K$ and $|T| \leq L$, there exist $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n, b_1 \in B_1, \dots, b_n \in B_n$ such that $a_1 + \dots + a_n \notin S$, $b_1 + \dots + b_n \notin T$, and also

$$a_i b_i c_i \neq a_j b_j c_j, \quad P_i(a_i) \neq P_j(a_j), \quad Q_i(b_i) \neq Q_j(b_j) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (1.4)$$

Remark 1.2. If h, k, l, m, n are positive integers satisfying (1.1), then the integers K and L given by (1.2) are nonnegative since

$$K \geq m(n - 1)n - (m + 1)\binom{n}{2} = (m - 1)\binom{n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad L \geq (h - 1)\binom{n}{2}.$$

From Theorem 1.2 we can deduce the following extension of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be an additive abelian group with cyclic torsion subgroup. Let h, k, l, m, n be positive integers satisfying (1.1). Assume that $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G$ are distinct, and $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ are subsets of G with $|A_1| = \dots = |A_n| = k$ and $|B_1| = \dots = |B_n| = l$. Then, for any sets S and T with $|S| \leq (k - 1)n - (m + 1)\binom{n}{2}$ and $|T| \leq (l - 1)n - (h + 1)\binom{n}{2}$, there are $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n, b_1 \in B_1, \dots, b_n \in B_n$ such that $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \not\subseteq S$, $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \not\subseteq T$, and also*

$$a_i + b_i + c_i \neq a_j + b_j + c_j, \quad ma_i \neq ma_j, \quad hb_i \neq hb_j \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \quad (1.5)$$

Proof. Let H be the subgroup of G generated by the finite set

$$A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n \cup B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_n \cup \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}.$$

Since $\text{Tor}(H)$ is cyclic and finite, as in the proof of [Su3, Theorem 1.1] we can identify the additive group H with a subgroup of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers. So, without loss of generality, below we simply view G as the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Let S and T be two sets with $|S| \leq (k-1)n - (m+1)\binom{n}{2}$ and $|T| \leq (l-1)n - (h+1)\binom{n}{2}$. Then

$$S' = \{a_1 + \cdots + a_n : a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n, \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \in S\}$$

and

$$T' = \{b_1 + \cdots + b_n : b_1 \in B_1, \dots, b_n \in B_n, \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \in T\}$$

are subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ with $|S'| \leq |S|$ and $|T'| \leq |T|$. By Theorem 1.2 with $P_i(x) = x^m$ and $Q_i(x) = x^h$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), there are $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n, b_1 \in B_1, \dots, b_n \in B_n$ such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_n \notin S'$ (and hence $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \notin S$), $b_1 + \cdots + b_n \notin T'$ (and hence $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \notin T$), and also

$$a_i b_i c_i \neq a_j b_j c_j, \quad a_i^m \neq a_j^m, \quad b_i^h \neq b_j^h \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 in the case $m = 3$ is a special case of Theorem 1.3.

Here is another extension of Theorem 1.1 via restricted sumsets in a field.

Theorem 1.4. *Let k, m, n be positive integers with $k - 1 \geq m(n - 1)$, and let F be a field with $\text{ch}(F) > \max\{mn, (k - 1 - m(n - 1))n\}$. Assume that $c_1, \dots, c_n \in F$ are distinct, and $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n$ are subsets of F with $|A_1| = \cdots = |A_n| = k$ and $|B_1| = \cdots = |B_n| = n$. Let $S_{ij} \subseteq F$ with $|S_{ij}| < 2m$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. Then there is an SDR $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that the restricted sumset*

$$S = \{a_1 + \cdots + a_n : a_i \in A_i, \quad a_i - a_j \notin S_{ij} \text{ and } a_i b_i c_i \neq a_j b_j c_j \text{ if } i < j\} \quad (1.6)$$

has at least $(k - 1 - m(n - 1))n + 1$ elements.

Now we introduce some basic notations in this paper. Let R be any commutative ring with identity. The *permanent* of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ over R is given by

$$\text{per}(A) = \|a_{ij}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1, \sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n, \sigma(n)}, \quad (1.7)$$

where S_n is the symmetric group of all the permutations on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Recall that the determinant of A is defined by

$$\det(A) = |a_{ij}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) a_{1, \sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n, \sigma(n)}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\varepsilon(\sigma)$ is 1 or -1 according as σ is even or odd. We remind the difference between the notations $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|$. For the sake of convenience, the coefficient of the monomial $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ in a polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ over R will be denoted by $[x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}]P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

In the next section we are going to prove Theorem 1.1 in two different ways. Section 3 is devoted to the study of duality between determinant and permanent. On the basis of Section 3, we will show Theorem 1.2 in Section 4 via the polynomial method. In Section 5, we will present our proof of Theorem 1.4.

2. TWO PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $a_{ij} \in R$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, where $m \in \{3, 5, \dots\}$. Then we have the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{m-1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(a_{mj} \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} a_{s\sigma_s(j)} - a_{mi} \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} a_{s\sigma_s(i)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_{1j} - a_{1i}) \cdots (a_{mj} - a_{mi}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Recall that $|x_j^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)$ (Vandermonde). Let Σ denote the left-hand side of (2.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{m-1}) (a_{1, \sigma_1(j)} \cdots a_{m-1, \sigma_{m-1}(j)} a_{mj})^{i-1} |_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma_1) \times \cdots \times \varepsilon(\sigma_{m-1}) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n (a_{1, \sigma_1(\tau(i))} \cdots a_{m-1, \sigma_{m-1}(\tau(i))} a_{m, \tau(i)})^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau)^m \prod_{i=1}^n a_{m, \tau(i)}^{i-1} \times \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma_s \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma_s \tau) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{s, \sigma_s \tau(i)}^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau)^m \prod_{i=1}^n a_{m, \tau(i)}^{i-1} \times \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{s, \sigma(i)}^{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since m is odd, we finally have

$$\Sigma = |a_{mj}^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} |a_{sj}^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{s=1}^m \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_{sj} - a_{si}).$$

This proves (2.1). $\square$

Remark 2.1. When $m \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$, the right-hand side of (2.1) should be replaced by

$$\|a_{mj}^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_{1j} - a_{1i}) \cdots (a_{m-1,j} - a_{m-1,i}).$$

Definition 2.1. A subset S of a commutative ring R with identity is said to be *regular* if all those $a - b$ with $a, b \in S$ and $a \neq b$ are units (i.e., invertible elements) of R .

Theorem 2.1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $m > 0$ be odd. Then, for any regular subsets $A_1, \dots, A_m$ of R with cardinality $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the elements of A_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) can be listed in a suitable order $a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}$, so that all the products $\prod_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are distinct.

Proof. The case $m = 1$ is trivial. Below we let $m \in \{3, 5, \dots\}$.

Write $A_s = \{b_{s1}, \dots, b_{sn}\}$ for $s = 1, \dots, m$. As all those $b_{sj} - b_{si}$ with $1 \leq s \leq m$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ are units of R , the product

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_{1j} - b_{1i}) \cdots (b_{mj} - b_{mi})$$

is also a unit of R and hence nonzero. Thus, by Lemma 2.1 there are $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} \in S_n$ such that whenever $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have

$$b_{1,\sigma_1(i)} \cdots b_{m-1,\sigma_{m-1}(i)} b_{mi} \neq b_{1,\sigma_1(j)} \cdots b_{m-1,\sigma_{m-1}(j)} b_{mj}.$$

For $1 \leq s \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $a_{sj} = b_{s,\sigma_s(j)}$ if $s < m$, and $a_{sj} = b_{sj}$ if $s = m$. Then $\{a_{s1}, \dots, a_{sn}\} = A_s$, and all the products $\prod_{s=1}^m a_{sj}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are distinct. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1.3 via Theorem 1.2, without loss of generality we may simply take G to be the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. As any nonzero element of a field is a unit in the field, the desired result follows from Theorem 2.1 immediately. $\square$

Now we turn to our second approach to Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c_1, \dots, c_n$ be elements of a commutative ring with identity. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^{n-1} \cdots x_n^{n-1} y_1^{n-1} \cdots y_n^{n-1}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i)(c_j x_j y_j - c_i x_i y_i) \\ = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j - c_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i)(c_j x_j y_j - c_i x_i y_i) \\ &= |x_i^{j-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |y_i^{j-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |(c_i x_i y_i)^{j-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\sigma(i)-1} \times \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{\tau(i)-1} \times \sum_{\lambda \in S_n} \varepsilon(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^n (c_i x_i y_i)^{\lambda(i)-1} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in S_n} \varepsilon(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^{\lambda(i)-1} \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x_i^{\lambda(i)+\sigma(i)-2} y_i^{\lambda(i)+\tau(i)-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the left-hand side of (2.2) coincides with

$$\sum_{\lambda \in S_n} \left(\varepsilon(\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^{\lambda(i)-1} \right) \varepsilon(\bar{\lambda}) = |c_i^{j-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j - c_i),$$

where $\bar{\lambda}(i) = n + 1 - \lambda(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We are done. $\square$

Let us recall the following central principle of the polynomial method.

Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [A1]. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ be finite subsets of a field F with $|A_i| > k_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are non-negative integers. If the total degree of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is $k_1 + \dots + k_n$ and $[x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}]f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is nonzero, then $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ for some $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $B_1, \dots, B_n$ be subsets of a field F with cardinality n . And let $c_1, \dots, c_n$ be distinct elements of F . Then there is an SDR $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and an SDR $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that the products $a_1 b_1 c_1, \dots, a_n b_n c_n$ are distinct.*

Proof. As $c_1, \dots, c_n$ are distinct, (2.2) implies that

$$[x_1^{n-1} \cdots x_n^{n-1} y_1^{n-1} \cdots y_n^{n-1}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i)(c_j x_j y_j - c_i x_i y_i) \neq 0.$$

Applying the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Remark 2.2. When $F = \mathbb{C}$, $A_1 = \cdots = A_n$ and $B_1 = \cdots = B_n$, Theorem 2.2 yields Theorem 1.1 with $m = 3$. Note also that Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 are different extensions of Theorem 2.2.

3. DUALITY BETWEEN DETERMINANT AND PERMANENT

Let us first summarize Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 of Sun [Su3] in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Sun [Su3]). *Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be a matrix over R .*

(i) *Let $k_1, \dots, k_n, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with $M = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i + \delta \binom{n}{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ where $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}] |a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^\delta \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i - M} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n, D_\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon(\sigma) N_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)} & \text{if } \delta = 0, \\ \sum_{\sigma \in T_n} \varepsilon(\sigma') N_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)} & \text{if } \delta = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma &= \{k_{\sigma(1)} - m_1, \dots, k_{\sigma(n)} - m_n\}, \\ T_n &= \{\sigma \in S_n : D_\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ and } |D_\sigma| = n\}, \\ N_\sigma &= \frac{(k_1 + \cdots + k_n - M)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < k_{\sigma(i)} - m_i \\ j \notin D_\sigma \text{ if } \delta=1}} (k_{\sigma(i)} - m_i - j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \end{aligned}$$

and σ' (with $\sigma \in T_n$) is the unique permutation in S_n such that

$$0 \leq k_{\sigma(\sigma'(1))} - m_{\sigma'(1)} < \cdots < k_{\sigma(\sigma'(n))} - m_{\sigma'(n)}.$$

(ii) *Let $k, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_n \leq k$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] |a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^{kn - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i} \\ &= \frac{(kn - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (k - m_i)!} \det(A). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In the case $m_1 < \cdots < m_n$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] |a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^{kn - \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i} \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{(kn - \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{m_i < j \leq k \\ j \notin \{m_s : i < s \leq n\}}} (j - m_i)} \text{per}(A). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In view of the minor difference between the definitions of determinant and permanent, by modifying the proof of the above result in [Su3] slightly we get the following dual of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be a matrix over R .*

(i) *Let $k_1, m_1, \dots, k_n, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $M = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i + \delta \binom{n}{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ where $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}] \|a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^\delta \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i - M} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n, D_\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{N}} N_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)} & \text{if } \delta = 0, \\ \sum_{\sigma \in T_n} \varepsilon(\sigma \sigma') N_\sigma \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i, \sigma(i)} & \text{if } \delta = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where D_σ, T_n, N_σ and σ' are as in Theorem 3.1(i).

(ii) *Let $k, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_n \leq k$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^{kn - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i} \\ &= \frac{(kn - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n (k - m_i)!} \text{per}(A). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In the case $m_1 < \dots < m_n$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^{kn - \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i} \\ &= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{(kn - \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{m_i < j \leq k \\ j \notin \{m_s : i < s \leq n\}}} (j - m_i)} \det(A). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Remark 3.1. Part (ii) of Theorem 3.2 follows from the first part.

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $a_{ij} \in R$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $k, l_1, \dots, l_n, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N = kn - \sum_{i=1}^n (l_i + m_i) \geq 0$.*

(i) (Sun [Su3, Theorem 2.2]) *There holds the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{l_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |x_j^{m_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \\ &= [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |x_j^{l_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

(ii) *We also have the following symmetric identities:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{l_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |x_j^{m_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \\ &= [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |x_j^{l_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] |a_{ij} x_j^{l_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \|x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \\
& = [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] |a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \|x_j^{l_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{l_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \|x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N \\
& = [x_1^k \cdots x_n^k] \|a_{ij} x_j^{m_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \|x_j^{l_i}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^N.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Theorem 3.3(ii) can be proved by modifying the proof of [Su3, Theorem 2.2] slightly.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Lemma 4.1. *Let h, k, l, m, n be positive integers satisfying (1.1). Let $c_1, \dots, c_n$ be elements of a commutative ring R with identity, and let $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ denote the polynomial*

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j x_j y_j - c_i x_i y_i) (x_j^m - x_i^m) (y_j^h - y_i^h) \times (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^K (y_1 + \cdots + y_n)^L,$$

where K and L are given by (1.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1} y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \\
& = \frac{K!L!}{N} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j - c_i),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$N = (hm)^{-\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(k-1-rm)!(l-1-rh)!}{(r!)^2} \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.3(i) and Theorem 3.1(ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
& [y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j x_j y_j - c_i x_i y_i) (y_j^h - y_i^h) \times (y_1 + \cdots + y_n)^L \\
& = [y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] |(c_j x_j)^{i-1} y_j^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |y_j^{(i-1)h}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (y_1 + \cdots + y_n)^L \\
& = [y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] |(c_j x_j)^{i-1} y_j^{(i-1)h}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |y_j^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (y_1 + \cdots + y_n)^L \\
& = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{L!}{L_0} \|(c_j x_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{(i-1)h < j \leq l-1 \\ j/h \notin \{s \in \mathbb{Z}: i \leq s < n\}}} (j - (i-1)h) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(l-1-(i-1)h)!}{\prod_{0 < j \leq n-i} (jh)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(l-1-(i-1)h)!}{(n-i)!h^{n-i}} = h^{-\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(l-1-rh)!}{r!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with helps of Theorem 3.3(ii) and Theorem 3.2(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1} y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &= [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] \frac{L!}{L_0} \|(c_j x_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j^m - x_i^m) \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^K \\ &= \frac{L!}{L_0} [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] \|c_j^{i-1} x_j^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |x_j^{(i-1)m}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^K \\ &= \frac{L!}{L_0} [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] \|c_j^{i-1} x_j^{(i-1)m}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |x_j^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^K \\ &= \frac{L!}{L_0} (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{K!}{K_0} |c_j^{i-1}|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{K!L!}{K_0 L_0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j - c_i), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_0 = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{\substack{(i-1)m < j \leq k-1 \\ j/m \notin \{s \in \mathbb{Z}: i \leq s < n\}}} (j - (i-1)m) = m^{-\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(k-1-rm)!}{r!}. \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore (4.1) holds with $N = K_0 L_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ denote the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (P_j(x_j) - P_i(x_i))(Q_j(y_j) - Q_i(y_i))(c_j x_j y_j - c_i x_i y_i) \\ &\quad \times (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^{K-|S|} \prod_{a \in S} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n - a) \\ &\quad \times (y_1 + \cdots + y_n)^{L-|T|} \prod_{b \in T} (y_1 + \cdots + y_n - b). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\deg f \leq (m+h+2) \binom{n}{2} + |K| + |L| = (k-1+l-1)n = \sum_{i=1}^n (|A_i| - 1 + |B_i| - 1).$$

Since $\text{ch}(F) > \max\{K, L\}$ and $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (c_j - c_i) \neq 0$, in view of Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1} y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &= [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1} y_1^{l-1} \cdots y_n^{l-1}] P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is defined as in Lemma 4.1. Applying the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz we find that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$ for some $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n, b_1 \in B_1, \dots, b_n \in B_n$. Thus (1.4) holds, and also $a_1 + \cdots + a_n \notin S$ and $b_1 + \cdots + b_n \notin T$. We are done. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Non-vanishing permanents are useful in combinatorics. For example, Alon's permanent lemma [A1] states that, if $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is a matrix over a field F with $\text{per}(A) \neq 0$, and $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are subsets of F with cardinality 2, then for any $b_1, \dots, b_n \in F$ there are $x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_n \in X_n$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \neq b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

In contrast with [Su3, Theorem 1.2(ii)], we have the following auxiliary result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ be finite subsets of a field F with $|A_1| = \cdots = |A_n| = k$, and let $P_1(x), \dots, P_n(x) \in F[x]$ have degree at most $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $[x^m]P_1(x), \dots, [x^m]P_n(x)$ distinct. Suppose that $k-1 \geq m(n-1)$ and $\text{ch}(F) > (k-1)n - (m+1)\binom{n}{2}$. Then the restricted sumset*

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i : a_i \in A_i, a_i \neq a_j \text{ for } i \neq j, \text{ and } \|P_j(a_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0 \right\} \quad (5.1)$$

has cardinality at least $(k-1)n - (m+1)\binom{n}{2} + 1 > (m-1)\binom{n}{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $|C| \leq K = (k-1)n - (m+1)\binom{n}{2}$. Clearly the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &:= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \times \|P_j(x_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \\ &\times \prod_{c \in C} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n - c) \times (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^{K-|C|} \end{aligned}$$

has degree not exceeding $(k-1)n = \sum_{i=1}^n (|A_i| - 1)$. Since $\text{ch}(F)$ is greater than K , and those $b_i = [x^m]P_i(x)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ are distinct, with the

help of Theorem 3.2(ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&= [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \times \|b_j^{i-1} x_j^{(i-1)m}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^K \\
&= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{K!}{K_0} \|b_j^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{K!}{K_0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_j - b_i) \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where K_0 is given by (4.3). Thus, by the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$ for some $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n$. Clearly $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \in C$ if $\|P_j(a_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0$ and $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. So we also have $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ by the definition of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The contradiction ends our proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.1. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be subsets of a field with cardinality n . Then there is an SDR $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that the permanent $\|(a_j b_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is nonzero.*

Proof. Simply apply Theorem 5.1 with $k = n$ and $P_j(x) = b_j x$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. $\square$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $k - 1 \geq m(n - 1)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^{2m-1} (x_j y_j - x_i y_i) \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^N \\
&= (-1)^{m \binom{n}{2}} \frac{(mn)! N!}{(m!)^n n!} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(rm)!}{(k-1-rm)!} \times \|y_j^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $N = (k - 1 - m(n - 1))n$.

Proof. Since both sides of (5.2) are polynomials in $y_1, \dots, y_n$, it suffices to show that (5.2) with $y_1, \dots, y_n$ replaced by $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ always holds.

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.6) of [SY], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x_1^{k-1} \cdots x_n^{k-1}] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^{2m-1} (a_j x_j - a_i x_i) \times \left(\sum_{s=1}^n x_s \right)^N \\
&= \frac{N!}{((k-1)!)^n} (-1)^{m \binom{n}{2}} \frac{m!(2m)! \cdots (nm)!}{(m!)^n n!} \|a_j^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{0 < r < n} \prod_{s=1}^{rm} (k-s) \\
&= (-1)^{m \binom{n}{2}} \frac{(mn)! N!}{(m!)^n n!} \|a_j^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(rm)!}{(k-1-rm)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since $c_1, \dots, c_n$ are distinct and $|B_1| = \dots = |B_n| = n$, by Corollary 5.1 there is an SDR $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\|(b_j c_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0$.

Suppose that $|S| \leq N = (k-1-m(n-1))n$. We want to derive a contradiction. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote the polynomial

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left((b_j c_j x_j - b_i c_i x_i)(x_j - x_i)^{2m-1-|S_{ij}|} \prod_{c \in S_{ij}} (x_j - x_i + c) \right) \\ \times (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^{N-|S|} \prod_{a \in S} (x_1 + \dots + x_n - a).$$

Then

$$\deg f \leq 2m \binom{n}{2} + N = (k-1)n = \sum_{i=1}^n (|A_i| - 1).$$

With the help of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{k-1} \dots x_n^{k-1}] f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= [x_1^{k-1} \dots x_n^{k-1}] (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^N \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (b_j c_j x_j - b_i c_i x_i)(x_j - x_i)^{2m-1} \\ &= (-1)^{m \binom{n}{2}} \frac{(mn)! N!}{(m!)^n n!} \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(rm)!}{(k-1-rm)!} \times \|(b_j c_j)^{i-1}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\text{ch}(F) > \max\{mn, N\}$. By the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, there are $a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_n \in A_n$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$. On the other hand, we do have $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$, because $a_1 + \dots + a_n \in S$ if $a_i - a_j \notin S_{ij}$ and $a_i b_i c_i \neq a_j b_j c_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. So we get a contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [A1] N. Alon, *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **8** (1999), 7–29.
- [A2] N. Alon, *Additive Latin transversals*, Israel J. Math. **117** (2000), 125–130.
- [ANR] N. Alon, M. B. Nathanson and I. Z. Ruzsa, *The polynomial method and restricted sums of congruence classes*, J. Number Theory **56** (1996), 404–417.
- [AT] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, *A nowhere-zero point in linear mappings*, Combinatorica **9** (1989), 393–395.
- [DKSS] S. Dasgupta, G. Károlyi, O. Serra and B. Szegedy, *Transversals of additive Latin squares*, Israel J. Math. **126** (2001), 17–28.
- [DK] J. Dénes and A. D. Keedwell, *Latin Squares and their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [DH] J. A. Dias da Silva and Y. O. Hamidoune, *Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **26** (1994), 140–146.

- [D] A. A. Drisko, *Transversals in row-Latin rectangles*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **84** (1998), 181–195.
- [EHNS] P. Erdős, D. R. Hickerson, D. A. Norton and S. K. Stein, *Has every latin square of order n a partial latin transversal of size $n - 1$?* Amer. Math. Monthly **95** (1988), 428–430.
- [HS] Q. H. Hou and Z. W. Sun, *Restricted sums in a field*, Acta Arith. **102** (2002), 239–249.
- [LS] J. X. Liu and Z. W. Sun, *Sums of subsets with polynomial restrictions*, J. Number Theory **97** (2002), 301–304.
- [N] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets* (Graduate texts in math.; 165), Springer, New York, 1996.
- [PS1] H. Pan and Z. W. Sun, *A lower bound for $|\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B, P(a,b) \neq 0\}|$* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **100** (2002), 387–393.
- [PS2] H. Pan and Z. W. Sun, *Restricted sumsets and a conjecture of Lev*, Israel J. Math. **154** (2006), 21–28.
- [R] H. J. Ryser, *Neuere Probleme der Kombinatorik*, in: Vorträge über Kombinatorik (Oberwolfach, 1967), Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut, Oberwolfach, 1968, pp. 69–91.
- [Sn] H. S. Snevily, *The Cayley addition table of $\mathbb{Z}_n$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **106** (1999), 584–585.
- [Su1] Z. W. Sun, *Hall’s theorem revisited*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3129–3131.
- [Su2] Z. W. Sun, *Restricted sums of subsets of $\mathbb{Z}$* , Acta Arith. **99** (2001), 41–60.
- [Su3] Z. W. Sun, *On Snevily’s conjecture and restricted sumsets*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **103** (2003), 288–301.
- [SY] Z. W. Sun and Y. N. Yeh, *On various restricted sumsets*, J. Number Theory **114** (2005), 209–220.
- [TV] T. Tao and V. H. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: zwsun@nju.edu.cn *Homepage:* <http://math.nju.edu.cn/~zwsun>